


BOLETÍN

DEL
MUSEO NACIONAL DE HISTORIA NATURAL DEL PARAGUAY



Boletín del Museo Nacional de Historia Natural del Paraguay

(ISSN 1680-4031)

El Boletín del Museo Nacional de Historia Natural del Paraguay se publica en un volumen y dos números por año. Publica trabajos originales sobre aspectos varios en las áreas de Botánica, Zoología, Paleontología y Geología Descriptiva, cubriendo la Región Neotropical, principalmente Paraguay y regiones limítrofes.

EDITOR: Bolívar R. Garcete-Barrett

CORREO ELECTRÓNICO: bolosphex@sce.cnc.una.py

EDITOR ASOCIADO: Emilio Buongermini

CORREO ELECTRÓNICO: ebuongermini@paraguaysilvestre.org.py

COMITÉ REVISOR

Los editores agradecen de manera especial a los expertos citados a continuación, por su asistencia en la revisión crítica de diferentes manuscritos.

Sebastián Apesteguía (Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales, Argentina)

Norman Scott (Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, U.S.A.)

James M. Carpenter (American Museum of Natural History, U.S.A.)

Junichi Kojima (Ibaraki University, Japón)

John A. Kochalka (Museo Nacional de Historia Natural del Paraguay, Paraguay)

Alberto Yanosky (Fundación Guyra Paraguay, Paraguay)

Daniel V. Hagan (Georgia Southern University, U.S.A.)

Tomás Ríos (Museo Nacional de Historia Natural del Paraguay, Paraguay)

Marizza Quintana (Museo Nacional de Historia Natural del Paraguay, Paraguay)

Carolina Pedroso (Centro de Datos para la Conservación, Paraguay)

Rocío Botta (Centro de Datos para la Conservación, Paraguay)

John P. T. Boorman, (retirado, Guildford, U.K.)

Las opiniones vertidas en los artículos son entera responsabilidad de los respectivos autores.

Museo Nacional de Historia Natural del Paraguay

Dirección de Investigación Biológica

Dirección General de Protección y Conservación de la Biodiversidad

Secretaría del Ambiente

Presidencia de la República



DIRECCIÓN: Sucursal 1 Campus U.N.A, 2169 CDP, Central XI, San Lorenzo, PARAGUAY

TELEFAX: 011-595-21-585208

CORREO ELECTRÓNICO: mnhnpy@sce.cnc.una.py

SITIO EN INTERNET: www.mnhnpy.org

Esta publicación fue financiada por el proyecto PAR98/G33



Primer semestre del año 2003. Se imprimieron 500 ejemplares

Ilustración de la portada: Perfiles columnares de algunas localidades con los sedimentos de relleno del rift de Asunción.

NOTES ON NEOTROPICAL EUMENINAE (HYMENOPTERA: VESPIDAE) II, THE GENUS *INCODYNERUS* WILLINK

BOLÍVAR R. GARCETE-BARRETT

Museo Nacional de Historia Natural del Paraguay, Sucursal 1 Campus U.N.A., 2169 CDP,
Central XI, San Lorenzo, PARAGUAY. e-mail: bolosphe@sce.cnc.una.py

Abstract.- The female of *Incodynerus fulvipennis* Giordani Soika is described. *Incodynerus urubambae* Schrottky **n. comb.** is proposed as a new combination for *Hypodynerus urubambae* Schrottky and its here proposed junior synonym *Odynerus romandinus* var. *urubambae* Bertoni **n. syn.**. The female of *Incodynerus ambiguus* Willink is briefly described, as well as color variation of this species.

Resumen.- Se describen la hembra de *Incodynerus fulvipennis* Giordani Soika. *Incodynerus urubambae* Schrottky **n. comb.** es propuesto como una nueva combinación para *Hypodynerus urubambae* Schrottky y su sinónimo posterior aquí propuesto *Odynerus romandinus* var. *urubambae* Bertoni **n. syn.**. La hembra de *Incodynerus ambiguus* Willink es brevemente descrita, así como la variación de color de la especie.

The genus *Incodynerus* was proposed by Willink (1967) for a group of South American high-altitude eumenines whose species were mostly included in the genus *Hypodynerus* by previous authors. *Incodynerus* is recognizable by the combination of tergum I rather short and broad without either transverse carina or preapical sulcus, tegula pointed posteriorly, pronotum without oblique carina, with pretegular carina and with anterior face smooth, propodeal valvulae broadly rounded and fused to the submarginal carina, propodeal dorsum declivous, parastigma short, axillary fossae broad, palp formula 6 : 4, second metasomal sternum basally truncate, broad temples and females with cephalic foveae.

Later Willink (1969) revised the genus and divided it the *romandinus* group and the *vilcanotae* group. Willink separated the *romandinus* group into two subgroups: species with the legs red-marked (*coccineipes* and *ambiguus*) and species with wholly black legs. This latter subgroup can also be divided in two by the presence (*romandinus*, *tegularis* and *fulvipennis*) or absence (*moei* and *urubambae*) of a basomedian projection on sternum II.

This paper, my second miscellaneous contribution to the knowledge of the neotropical Eumeninae (first one is Garcete-Barrett, 2001),

is a small addition to the knowledge of some species of *Incodynerus*. In my opinion, a serious new revision must be made, mainly to better understand the variability and limits of species in the group of *Incodynerus romandinus*.

Incodynerus fulvipennis Giordani Soika (Figs 1-2)

Incodynerus fulvipennis Giordani Soika,
1974 (1973), Boll. Mus. Civ. Stor. Nat.
Venezia 24: 109, holotype male - "Bo-
livia: Oruro, Playa verde, 3800 m"
(BMNH) [examined].

Female: *Black with light yellow* as follows: epistomal spot, small temporal spot, thin transverse band on pronotum, thin, regular bands on tergum I, tergum II and sternum II. Tip of the three apical teeth of mandibles *chestnut*. Wings and venation *orange amber* turning to *brown* towards the tips.

Length to the apex of tergum II 10 mm. Wing length 9 mm. POL: OOL = 6: 9. Relation width : height of clypeus (Fig. 1) = 24 : 20. Clypeus shallowly emarginate, without lamella. Pronotal carina widely interrupted, only marked at humeri where it projects as an almost right angle (Fig. 2). Propodeum with a complete central carina and lateral carinae dying far from spiracles at a distance nearly equal to one and a half times their own length. Ster-

num II with a perpendicular anterior face smoothly separated from the posterior face by a convex surface, elevated in the middle forming a projection.

The whole body is covered with rather sparse, long pale fulvous erect hair, becoming shorter from posterior half of tergum I. Metasoma also covered with rather dense, very short brown decumbent hair, covering only the sides on sterna II-V (corresponding to the microsculptured portions), and being appressed on tergum II. Tegula with short brown erect pilosity on anterior and posterior corners.

Clypeus with micropunctures covering the lateral and upper borders, being replaced by weak shagreen towards the middle and free portion without microsculpture; macropunctures smaller on lateral and upper borders, becoming larger and elongate at the middle and rounded again on the free portion. Scape with dense micropunctuation mixed with some larger punctures, except for the very apical portion. Frons with very dense macropunctuation, intervals micropunctate. Punctures of moderate size, larger on ocular sinus, becoming progressively sparser at vertex, temples and downwards on genae. Pronotal and mesopleural sculpture similar to that on frons, but a bit coarser. Pronotal lobe without punctures. Mesoscutum, scutellum and anterior, horizontal portion of metanotum with sculpture similar to that on vertex. Posterior, declivous portion of metanotum well micropunctate and with some sparse macropunctures. Mesopleuron with some fine vertical striae on its posterior fifth. Metapleura with dense micropunctuation and finely longitudinally striate; macropunctures sparse on middle, but concentrated at the anterior upper and inferior extremes. Sides of propodeum finely longitudinally striate and micropunctate; macropunctures progressively denser backwards and coarser upwards. Upper lateral regions of propodeum coarsely and densely punctated. Posterior part of propodeum with macropunctuation mixed with oblique striae. Tegula micropunctate, with some sparse

larger punctures at anterior and posterior corners. Terga rather densely micropunctate, and with sparse macropunctures, sparser and finer on tergum II, larger on tergum I, and denser and more mixed with the microsculpture on terga III-V. Sternum I with rather dense macropunctures. Sterna II-V all covered with well-spaced macropunctures and covered with micropunctures only at sides, absent on middle of sclerite. Tergum VI and sternum VI with dense micropunctuation obscuring the macropunctures that are more discernible towards the apex.

Material examined: BOLIVIA: Altiplano, Pillapi, 70 km E of La Paz, 3780 m, field of alfalfa and grass, 10-16. iv. 1964, J.L. Chandler coll. (1 female BMNH); Oruro, playa verde, 3800 m., 15. i. 1964, J.L. Chudley coll. (2 males BMNH, holotype and paratype, in the original description they are marked as collected on "18. i. 1964").

Incodynerus urubambae (Schrottky) n. comb. (Figs 3-8)

Hypodynerus urubambae Schrottky, 1911,
Entomol. Rdsch. 28: 11, macho - "Peru:
Urubamba, 3000 m., i-ii" (depository
unknown). - Willink, 1969, Acta Zool.
Lilloana 24: 68 (perhaps an
Incodynerus).

Odynerus romandinus var. *urubambae*
Bertoni, 1918, An. Cient. Parag. 2 (3):
196, hembra, macho - "Urubamba,
Perú, 3000 m" (IBNP, coll. Bertoni).
Lectotype female here designated. **n.**
syn.

After a careful reading of both descriptions I reached the conclusion that Schrottky and Bertoni used the same name to describe the same species. Moreover, the data recorded on the labels are the same. Thus, I consider *urubambae* Bertoni to be a homonymous synonym of *urubambae* Schrottky. The species is redescribed below.

Wasp black with yellow as follows: central and subapical marks on female clypeus; whole male clypeus except for the black borders;

interantennal spot; a small spot at temples; upper transversal band of pronotum, broader at sides; inverted triangular subalar spot; hind corner of tegula; a pair of circular spots on scutellum and a pair of oval spots on metanotum (both may be absent in males); apical band on terga I-II and sternum II. Flagellomeres X-XI *orange* as well as venter of flagellomere IX (Fig. 7) and sometimes some suffusion beneath other flagellomeres. Wings rather clear, brownish along costal area, venation chestnut.

Body length from frons to apex of tergum II approximately 9mm. All body covered with a hirsute yellowish-white pilosity. Mandible with an elongate basal triangular tuft of whitish appressed pubescence. Clypeal apex truncate in both sexes (Figs. 2 – 3). Clypeus of female (Fig. 5) as long as broad, finely microreticulate and with moderately dense punctures. Clypeus of male (Fig. 6) 1.26X longer than broad, less evidently microreticulate and with scattered punctures. Macropunctuation of head, pronotum, mesoscutum, scutellum, mesepisternum and upper metanotum extremely dense, leaving reticular intervals. Upper temples around yellow spot and posterior area of metanotum with just scattered punctures. Humeral angles forming large, very outstanding conical projections (Figs 3-4). Sides of metapleurae and propodeum densely microreticulate, the latter also longitudinally microstriate. Rear of propodeum with fine central keel and diagonal striae diverging from above; sides with just small blunt angulations. Tergum I finely and densely microreticulate with obscure scattered punctures in the female and coarse, moderately dense punctures in males. Tergum II (Fig. 8) with very slight basomedian convex elevation and latero-apical impressions (both more evident in males) and with fine punctures increasing in size and density from the nearly impunctate basomedian area towards the apex and the sides. Following terga with coarse punctures (quite dense on terga III and IV) decreasing in size and density

on each consecutive sclerite at the same time they become obscured by dense micropunctuation. Sternum II (Fig. 5) basally just truncate, not especially elevated basomedially, with latero-apical impressions (more evident in males) and covered with coarse, moderately dense punctures decreasing in size towards the sides. Following sterna sculptured as their respective terga.

This species is close to *Incodynerus moei*, sharing with it the absence of a strong basomedial projection on sternum II (present in *tectorialis*, *romandinus* and *fulvipennis*). The clypeus of *moei* is apically notched in both sexes (perfectly truncate in *urubambae*) and shorter in *moei* males than in males of *urubambae*. *Incodynerus urubambae* is also different in having a subalar inverted yellow triangle. The very large pronotal projections are also remarkable.

Material examined: 1 female (marked “typus”) and 3 males (marked “cotypus”) from PERÚ: Urubamba, 3000 m., i-ii. Labeled with Bertoni’s reference number 2605. They are deposited in the Museo Nacional de Historia Natural del Paraguay (IBNP). I labeled the female with the reference number “E. 102” and designate it as lectotype. The males are labeled with the reference numbers “E. 16”, “E. 20” and “E. 70” and are designated as paralectotypes. These designations are made in order to provide an objective standard of reference for the application of the name here treated.

Incodynerus ambiguus Willink (Figs 9-13)

Incodynerus ambiguus Willink, 1969, Acta Zool. Lilloana 24: 69 (key), 75, male - “Peru: Apurimac Andahuaylas” (Museum of Comparative Zoology).

Willink described this species from a single male, differentiating it from other species by color marks and the shape of the humeral projections. I have seen a short series of males and females and have found some subtle color

variation, as well as an important structural difference between males and females. The description given below is not exhaustive, merely an addition to the description given by Willink (1969).

Female: *Black with ivory* as follows: a large subapical marking on the clypeus; an inverted pyriform spot at the lower orbit, close to the clypeus; an oval spot at the temple; a more or less broad (broader than that of males) pronotal band that ends at humeral angles; an external line along posterior half of the tegula; a thin metanotal band; apical band at terga I – II and sternum II. The legs are *reddish* from the apex of femorae.

Structurally it mostly fits the description of the male given by Willink (1969). The sculpture of the clypeus (Fig. 9) is stronger than in the male (Fig. 10). The clypeus becomes gradually shinning towards the apex, and its apical margin is weakly concave with a very thin apical lamella. The humeral angles form rather low and short conical projections (Fig. 12), not digitiform projections as in the male (Fig. 13). Nevertheless half the males I examined had the angles a bit lower, not definitely digitiform.

Color variation of the species: The legs are *reddish* from the apex of the femorae in the males, but the *reddish* color can extend along the dorsum of the femorae down to their mid point. The tibiae are all *reddish*, but males usually have a large blackish suffusion at the middle. One female has also two sub-basal *ivory* spots on the clypeus. The *ivory* line on the tegulae can be reduced to completely absent in the males, but well marked in all of the examined females. The *ivory* band of the metanotum can be complete, reduced to lateral fragments or completely absent in both sexes.

The color variation of this species as well as the shape of the female humeri vitiate the separation of *Incodynerus ambiguus* Willink from *Incodynerus coccineipes* (Zavattari) in the way proposed by Willink (1969). However, I am not in position to determine the status of the present species without doing a thorough

revision.


Material examined: PERU: 5 mi. N. of Andahuaylas, 7. iii. 1951, Ross & Michelbacher colls (6 females and 4 males CAS).

AKNOWLEGMENTS

I thank Jim Carpenter, Ian Gauld and Sondra Ward for their assistance during my stay at New York and London. Special thanks to the first for his continuous help with comments, literature and unpublished data. Thanks to Wojciech Pulawski of the California Academy of Sciences (CAS) for the eumenine material he kindly sent to me. Thanks also to Barry Bolton of The Natural History Museum, London (BMNH) for suggesting a way to solve the taxonomic problem derived from using Schrottky's and Bertoni's names for *Incodynerus urubambae*.

LITERATURE

- Bertoni, A.W. 1918. Contribución al conocimiento de los himenópteros diplópteros americanos (especies y nidos nuevos o poco conocidos). Anales Científicos Paraguayos, II (3): 184-202.
- Garcete-Barrett, B.R. 2001. Notes on neotropical Eumeninae I (Hymenoptera: Vespidae). Boletín del Museo Nacional de Historia Natural del Paraguay, 13 : 38 – 40.
- Giordani Soika, A. 1974 (1973). Notulae vespidiologicae XXXV, descrizione di nuovi eumenidi. Boll. Mus. Civ. Stor. Nat. Venezia 24: 97-131.
- Schrottky, C. 1911. Neue südamerikan. Hymenoptera. Entomol. Rdsch. 28: 10-11.
- Willink, A. 1967. Nuevos géneros de euménidos neotropicales (Hym., Eumenidae). Acta Zoológica Lilloana, XXII: 143-155.
- Willink, A. 1969. Las especies del género *Incodynerus* Willink (Hym., Eumenidae). Acta Zoológica Lilloana, XXIV: 65-87.



Figs. 1-13. Genus *Incodynerus*. 1-2) *Incodynerus fulvipennis* Giordani Soika, female. 1) Clypeus showing sculpture and pilosity. 2) Dorsal aspect of pronotum showing shape and color pattern. 3-8) *Incodynerus urubambae* Schrottky, schematic figures showing shape and color patterns. 3, 5) Female. 4, 6, 7, 8) Male. 3-4) Dorsal aspect of pronotum. 5-6) Clypeus. 7) Outer and ventral aspect of left antenna. 8) Terga and sterna I-II in side view. 9-13) *Incodynerus ambiguus* Willink. 9, 12) Female. 10, 11, 13) Male. 9-10) Clypeus showing sculpture, pilosity and color pattern.. 11) Inner and ventral view of right antenna. 12-13) Dorsal aspect of pronotum showing shape and color pattern. Variable scale.

INSTRUCCIONES A LOS AUTORES

El Boletín del Museo Nacional de Historia Natural del Paraguay se publica en un volumen por año, dividido en dos números. Las fechas límite para recepción de manuscritos son 1 de marzo para la edición de mayo (nº 1) y 1 de setiembre para la edición de noviembre (nº 2). En caso de no tener un número completo para la edición de mayo, se publicará un volumen de doble número en noviembre.

Se aceptan trabajos de investigación originales diversos en las áreas de Botánica, Zoología, Paleontología y Geología Descriptiva, cubriendo la Región Neotropical y preferentemente el Paraguay y regiones limítrofes. Se aceptan trabajos en Español o en Inglés.

Los manuscritos deben presentarse en archivo electrónico generado en Microsoft Word y copia impresa en papel tamaño carta con todos los márgenes de 2,5 cm y texto en fuente Times New Roman tamaño 11. No se aceptarán pies de página.

Las figuras deben ser originales, con número de referencia escrito a lápiz al dorso o en su defecto archivos electrónicos numerados, de buena resolución en formatos JPG, TIF o PNG. Los pies de ilustración deben ir en hoja aparte, indicando claramente los números de referencia de las ilustraciones originales o los archivos respectivos. Las tablas deben ir impresas por separado y en versión electrónica en archivo generado en Microsoft Excel.

Toda la documentación relacionada con el artículo debe enviarse al Editor del Boletín, Museo Nacional de Historia Natural del Paraguay, Sucursal 1 Campus U.N.A, 2169 CDP, Central XI, San Lorenzo, Paraguay. Los archivos electrónicos pueden enviarse a la dirección electrónica vigente del museo o del editor. Se pide que los autores provean nombre, dirección postal y correo electrónico de al menos dos revisores potenciales.

La primera página del manuscrito debe llevar los siguientes datos: **1)** título conciso e informativo en letra mayúscula, **2)** nombre del autor o autores, **3)** dirección completa del autor o autores (incluyendo dirección electrónica si existe), **4)** resumen en español y **5)** abstract en inglés.

El cuerpo del manuscrito puede constar de las siguientes partes ordenadas, cada una titulada en letra mayúscula: **1)** Introducción, **2)** Materiales y Metodología, **3)** Resultados y Discusión, **4)** Conclusión y **5)** Literatura. Se aceptan modificaciones de este esquema siempre que sigan una secuencia lógica equivalente a lo propuesto.

Los trabajos deberán respetar las disposiciones de los códigos de nomenclatura Zoológica y Botánica vigentes. Los nombres científicos deben escribirse en *itálicas*. Se sugiere que los nombres científicos se escriban completos, incluyendo autor(es), al menos la primera vez que se mencionan. La citación de autores de nombres científicos es obligatoria en trabajos de índole taxonómico. Los nombres genéricos al principio de una oración deben escribirse completos.

Las citas bibliográficas deberán hacerse de acuerdo a los siguientes ejemplos: López (1992) o (López, 1992). Cuando un trabajo tiene dos autores se mencionarán ambos apellidos y cuando sean más se citará como en los ejemplos: López *et al.* (1991) o (López *et al.*, 1991).

En la sección Literatura se deben incluir los trabajos citados en el manuscrito o que merecen mención justificada por su importancia en el tema tratado. Las referencias deben ir por orden alfabético y cronológico y cada una siguiendo el modelo de secuencia: Autor. Año. Título. Publicación serial o Casa editorial, Volumen (Número) : Total de páginas o Secuencia de páginas. Abajo hay algunos ejemplos:

- Carpenter, J.M. 1986. A synonomous generic checklist of the Eumeninae (Hymenoptera: Vespidae). *Psyche*, 93 (1 – 2) : 61 – 90.
- Carpenter, J.M. & J. Vecht. 1991. A study of the Vespidae described by William J. Fox (Insecta: Hymenoptera), with assessments of taxonomic implications. *Annals of Carnegie Museum*, 60 (3) : 211 – 241.
- Polazek, A., S. Abd-Rabou & J. Huang. 1999. The Egyptian species of *Encarsia* (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae); a preliminary review. *Zoologische medelingen Leiden*, 73 : 131 – 163.
- Hanson, P. & A.S. Menke. 1995. The sphecid wasps (Sphecidae). Capítulo 17, pp. 621 – 646, en Hanson P. & I.D. Gauld (editores). *The Hymenoptera of Costa Rica*. Oxford Science Publications/The Natural History Museum, London. 893 pp.
- Richards, O.W. 1978. *The social wasps of the Americas excluding the Vespinae*. British Museum (Natural History), London. 580 pp.

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

Boletín del Museo Nacional de Historia Natural del Paraguay is published a volume a year, divided in two numbers. Deadline for manuscript reception is March 1 for the May edition (nº 1) and September 1 for the November edition (nº 2). A double number volume will be published in November if no papers were available to complete de May edition.

The editorial accepts original research papers on several aspects of Botany, Zoology, Paleontology and Descriptive Geology, covering the Neotropical Region, preferably Paraguay and neighbouring areas. Papers wrote in Spanish or English will be accepted.

The manuscripts should be submitted as electronic files in Microsoft Word format and printed in letter size paper with 25 mm margins and text in Times New Roman font, size 11. Footnotes will not be accepted.

Figures should be submitted as original hard copies, with reference numbers penciled on back or, alternatively as numbered electronic files with good resolution in JPG, TIF or PNG format. The figure legends must go in a separate page, clearly indicating the reference numbers of the original illustrations or files. Tables should be printed separately and electronic files containing them should be made in Microsoft Excel format.

All the documentation related to the manuscript must be sent to: Editor del Boletín, Museo Nacional de Historia Natural del Paraguay, Sucursal 1 Campus U.N.A, 2169 CDP, Central XI, San Lorenzo, Paraguay. The electronic files could be sent to the available e-mail address of the museum or of the editor. Authors are asked to provide name, address and e-mail of at least two potential referees.

The first page of the manuscript must contain the following data: **1)** short and concise title in capitals, **2)** name of the author(s), **3)** complete address of the author(s) (including e-mail address if available), **4)** Spanish ‘resumen’ and **5)** English abstract.

The manuscript body could be composed by the following ordered parts, each one entitled in capitals: **1)** Introduction, **2)** Materials and Methods, **3)** Results and Discussion, **4)** Conclusion and **5)** Literature. Modifications could be accepted if they follow a logic sequence equivalent to the one here proposed.

Papers must respect the rules of the codes on Zoology and Botany in force. Scientific names must be in *italics*. It is suggested that scientific names should be mentioned complete, including authro(s) at least in the first mention. Authority is mandatory in taxonomic papers. Generic names must be completely spelled at the beginning of a sentence.

References in the text should follow the examples: López (1992), or (López, 1992). Papers with two authors should mention both names, and papers with more authors should follow the examples: López *et al.* (1991), or (López *et al.*, 1991).

The Literature section must include all the works referred in the text and could include those with justified influence on the subject. References should go in alphabetic and chronologic order, each one according to the following model: Author. Year. Título. Serial publication or editorial, Volume (Number) : Page total or page sequence. Examples are given below:

Carpenter, J.M. 1986. A synonymic generic checklist of the Eumeninae (Hymenoptera: Vespidae). *Psyche*, 93 (1 – 2) : 61 – 90.

Carpenter, J.M. & J. Vecht. 1991. A study of the Vespidae described by William J. Fox (Insecta: Hymenoptera), with assessments of taxonomic implications. *Annals of Carnegie Museum*, 60 (3) : 211 – 241.

Polazek, A., S. Abd-Rabou & J. Huang. 1999. The Egyptian species of *Encarsia* (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae); a preliminary review. *Zoologische mededelingen Leiden*, 73 : 131 – 163.

Hanson, P. & A.S. Menke. 1995. The sphecid wasps (Sphecidae). Capítulo 17, pp. 621 – 646, en Hanson P. & I.D. Gauld (editores). *The Hymenoptera of Costa Rica*. Oxford Science Publications/The Natural History Museum, London. 893 pp.

Richards, O.W. 1978. The social wasps of the Americas excluding the Vespinae. *British Museum (Natural History)*, London. 580 pp.

BOLETÍN DEL MUSEO NACIONAL DE HISTORIA NATURAL DEL PARAGUAY

VOL. 14 (1-2)

SETIEMBRE 2002

PÁGINAS 1 - 98

CONTENIDO

Wild, A. L. The genus <i>Pachycondyla</i> (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) in Paraguay	1 - 18
Carpenter, J. M. Return to the subspecies concept in the genus <i>Zeta</i> (Hymenoptera: Vespidae; Eumeninae)	19 - 24
Garcete-Barrett, B. R. Notes on neotropical Eumeninae (Hymenoptera: Vespidae) II, the genus <i>Incodynerus</i> Willink	25 - 29
Oliva, A. Especies de Berosinae (Coleoptera: Hydrophilidae) de Paraguay	30 - 35
Garcete-Barrett, B. R. Notas sobre Eumeninae neotropicales III (Hymenoptera: Vespidae)	36 - 42
Ronderos M. M. and F. Diaz. Rearing <i>Culicoides bambusicola</i> (Lutz, 1913) (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) in laboratory. Observations and new records	43 - 48
Garcete-Barrett, B. R. A new species of <i>Zethus</i> (Hymenoptera: Vespidae: Eumeninae) from Eastern Paraguay	49 - 51
Carpenter, J. M. and B. R. Garcete-Barrett. A key to the neotropical genera of Eumeninae (Hymenoptera: Vespidae)	52 - 73
Morales, C. y C. Vitale. Tendencias poblacionales del <i>Nandayus nenday</i> (Vieillot) (Aves: Psittacidae) en el Bajo Chaco paraguayo	74 - 79
Garcete-Barrett, B. R. La taxonomía del género <i>Cephalastor</i> Soika (Hymenoptera: Vespidae: Eumeninae), parte II	80 - 97
Filippi Amábile, V. y J. L. Báez Presser. Correlación de sedimentos de relleno del rift de Asunción en el Valle de Acahay	98 - 105